

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,559	11/10/2003	Jae Wang Lee	11037-145-999	5479
24341	7590 11/17/2005		EXAM	INER
-	LEWIS & BOCKIUS,	SMITH, TYRONE W		
2 PALO ALTO 3000 EL CAN	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			2837	
		DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
	10/705,559	LEE, JAE WANG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	Tyrone W. Smith	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strong reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	<u> </u>				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
<ol> <li>☐ Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		———			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur	` ','				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.			

 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/03 & 4/14/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
C) Thisting of the state of the	

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6	) [	Other:

Attachment(s)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-6, 8 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakatsuka et al (JP09-238490).

Regarding Claims 1, 4, 6 and 8. Nakatsuka discloses a motor controller, which includes calculating an estimated inertia moment (Figure 2 item 6) of a motor (Figure 2 item 1); calculating a forward compensation current based on the estimated inertia moment (Figure 2 item 6) and an acceleration command/target command (Figure 2); calculating a final current command based on a speed controller (Figure 2 item 2) output current and the forward compensation current; the speed controller (Figure 2 item 2) output current being calculated based on the acceleration command (Figure 2); and controlling the motor (Figure 2 item 1) using the final current command. Further, the motor (Figure 2 item 1) is directly coupled to an engine (load Figure 2 item 9).

Regarding Claims and 5 and 10. Nakatsuka discloses that the speed controller (Figure 2 item 2) output current is a difference between a speed command that is calculated based on the acceleration command (Figure 2) and a motor speed (Figure 2 item 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 7 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakatsuka et al (JP09-238490) and (Wikipedia: http://www.wikipedia.org/).

Regarding Claims 2, 3, 7 and 9. Nakatsuka discloses a motor controller, which includes calculating an estimated inertia moment (Figure 2 item 6) of a motor (Figure 2 item 1); calculating a forward compensation current based on the estimated inertia moment (Figure 2 item 6) and an acceleration command/target command (Figure 2); calculating a final current command based on a speed controller (Figure 2 item 2) output current and the forward compensation current; the speed controller (Figure 2 item 2) output current being calculated based on the acceleration command (Figure 2); and controlling the motor (Figure 2 item 1) using the final current command. Further, the motor (Figure 2 item 1) is directly coupled to an engine (load Figure 2 item 9). Nakatsuka does not specifically disclose the formulas for calculating the moment of inertia and forward compensation as in claims 2, 3 7 and 8.

Regarding Claims 2 and 7. The Wikipedia discloses a equation for finding a torque as a function of time which is Torque = moment of inertia (dw/dt) = moment of inertia X angular acceleration. From the mathematical expression used it would have been obvious to adjust the formula to give an equation for finding the moment of inertia.

In re Stevens, 212 F.2d 197, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954) (Claims were directed to a handle for a fishing rod wherein the handle has a longitudinally adjustable finger hook, and the handle grip of the handle connects with the body portion by means of a universal joint. The court

Art Unit: 2837

held that adjustability, where needed, is not a patentable advance, and because there was an art-recognized need for adjustment in a fishing rod, the substitution of a universal joint for the single pivot of the prior art would have been obvious.).

Regarding Claims 3 and 9. The Wikipedia gives the concept of the torque as related to the use of the moment of inertia which is Torque = moment of inertia X angular acceleration. In the current invention the torque is constant, however by using the calculation above the torque can be found and used as a constant. It would be obvious for purposes if the claimed invention to use the acceleration X (moment of inertia / Torque) to find a forward compensation of the claimed invention.

In re Stevens, 212 F.2d 197, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954) (Claims were directed to a handle for a fishing rod wherein the handle has a longitudinally adjustable finger hook, and the hand grip of the handle connects with the body portion by means of a universal joint. The court held that adjustability, where needed, is not a patentable advance, and because there was an art-recognized need for adjustment in a fishing rod, the substitution of a universal joint for the single pivot of the prior art would have been obvious.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to adjust and use the equations in the Wikipedia to calculate the claimed invention. The advantage of calculating or adjusted the equations would provide a motor control method and a system thereof in which revolution speed of the motor is controlled based on an estimated inertia moment of the motor and an acceleration speed command.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,559 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Pertinent arts of record related to hybrid vehicles and motor controls are disclosed in

the PTO-892.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tyrone W. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-2075. The

examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Martin, can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 37. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tyrone Smith

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

MARLONT. FLETCHER PRIMARY EXAMINER